SAB Consult and Breakout Group Charges



SAB Consult

Charge Questions

- ➤ Soundness of organizing principles?
- >Addresses major issues of concern?
- > Captures key scientific uncertainties?
- ➤ Suggest priorities?
- ➤ Additional issues?
- > Feasibility of proposed process?





Key Points (unofficial)

- > Generally a well constructed document
- Sound strategy and a good starting point for the program
- Reasonable proof-of-concept beginning
- Must engage policy arms of Agency in moving forward
- Next steps must be more clearly defined





SAB Comments (unofficial)

- Suggested priorities
 - Metabonomics
 - ➤ Mixtures
- Acknowledge
 - > Validation need for QSARs, et al.
 - Different states of maturity of technologies
 - ➤ Need for strong LIMs
 - Need for translational research





SAB Comments (unofficial)

Consider

- Incorporating exposure models into the framework
- Proof of concept for a risk assessment application
- Defining what constitutes an adverse effect
- Participation in CEBs
- Holding additional workshops with stakeholders

Cross reference

- Children's health
- Molecular epidemiology
- Harmonization of cancer/non-cancer
- Integrated Eco/Human health assessment



RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT Building a scientific foundation for sound environmental decisions

The Future

Planning

- Incorporate inputs from the Consult and the Workshop
- Produce and distribute a Workshop Summary
- Coordinate with the EPA Genomics Task Force
- Implementation Team to begin identifying specific areas for program development
- Additional, targeted workshops
- Maintain the website

Budget

- FY04 request includes realigned and redirected base resources from FY02/03 and additional resources to extend proof-of-concept to other chemicals
- FY05 request to expand approach to pesticidal inerts and to non-pesticidal anti-microbials
- Complement intramural activities with STAR program, including potential Center for Bioinformatics





Breakout Groups

- > Two pairs
 - Breakouts 1 & 2 approach from viewpoint of regulatory needs
 - ➤ Breakouts 3 & 4 approach from viewpoint of core research questions
- ➤ Brainstorming for ideas
- > Large groups, be considerate
- Return with a few potential opportunities for investment of resources





Breakout Rooms

Group	Facilitators	Rapporteur	Room
1	Gary Ankley Greg Toth	Tim Collette	C113
2	Karen Hammerstrom Jack Fowle	Pat Schmieder	C114
3	Hugh Tilson Eric Weber	Doug Young	B101
4	Elaine Francis Doug Wolf	Jeff Swartout	B201



Computational Toxicology



Prediction Prioritization Quantitative Risk Assessment